From:

Stewart N. Davis

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Tue, Feb 18, 2003 3:47 PM

Date: Subject:

Comments to the Commissioner

Stewart N. Davis (sdavis34@houston.rr.com) writes:

Dear Commisioners,

I hope that you vote to keep the current FCC regulations in place which allow third party phone providers to have cheap access to the Baby Bell system's phone lines so that they can provide low-cost service. I recently switched to Birch Telecom, and am really enjoying having an alternative to the Baby Bell system. Thanks to the current regualtions, competition to the Bbay Bells is actually beginning to occur! My phone bill has gone down, and I have additional services.

The Baby Bells are all crying foul claiming that the regulaitons are an unfair subsidy to competitors. I say "hooey" on that. They have more than made their money over the years. In this economic environment, no company is going to build a substitute communications network. I would also argue that it would be an inefficient use of resources to do so. Allowing competitors to have cheap access to the Baby Bell system's phone lines makes sense at this time.

Thank you for your considertion of my views on this matter.

Sincerely,

Stewart N. Davis 1028 Waltway Drive Houston, TX 77008-6315

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0 Remote host: 128.249.129.59

Remote IP address: 128.249.129.59

96-98

From:

paul dezarn

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Tue, Feb 18, 2003 12:03 PM

Subject:

Comments to the Commissioner

paul dezarn (pdezarn1@aol.com) writes:

Commissioner Adelstein,

Hello, My name is Paul Dezarn and I am a common working man and I am writing you to voice my concern over the upcoming UNEP ruling. Are you aware that their are over 500,000 telecom workers out of work now in the US, are you aware of the possible layoffs that will happen over the UNEP issues. Are you aware of the impact on our economy that the unfair laws have had. I have freinds at home without work because of the current regulations. The unfair playing feild that the phone industry currently operates in is not right. While AT&T and MCI are crushing the common working man and decieving the customers, network owners are being punished and the ones who suffer are the common working man like me. How long will the practice's of AT&T and MCI be ignored while the customer suffers? and for what? I have to ask who from AT&T and MCI are investing and creating jobs and spending capital dollars? We both know the answers to that one and just look at what MCI did to its own employees and !

manipulate. I can only hope that you will see the light and will not vote in the favor of MCI and AT&T and the likes that want to make their profits on the hard work and backs of men and women like me.

Sincerely, Paul Dezarn

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0 Remote host: 144.160.1.83

Remote IP address: 144.160.1.83

From:

Ernest Pattern

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Tue, Feb 18, 2003 3:33 PM

Subject:

Comments to the Commissioner

Ernest Pattern (pattern@earthlink.net) writes:

Subject: Sharing lines is bad for the economy

Comm. Powell should immediately order each supplier to built its own delivery line to each customer's home.

Gas companies should also be ordered to string pipelines to each home when the customer changes gas suppliers.

Power companies should string their own wires.

Oil companies should be ordered to stop sharing pipelines and each should build its own transcontinental pipeline.

Trains, shipping and airlines companies should each build its own rail, harbor and airport.

Lets all get together and pressure the commissioners to stop this "sharing nonsense" think of all the jobs that would be created if

Mr. Powell's idea can be duplicated.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.0 Remote host: 209.86.165.37

Remote IP address: 209.86.165.37